UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION	
10/825,635	04/15/2004	Ramin Abhari	2003B043B	9004
	7590 07/07/200 L CHEMICAL COMP	EXAMINER		
5200 BAYWAY	Y DRIVE	WYROZEBSKI LEE, KATARZYNA I		
P.O. BOX 2149 BAYTOWN, TX 77522-2149			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/07/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s) ABHARI ET AL.				
		10/825,63	35					
		Examine	•	Art Unit				
		Katarzyna	ı Wyrozebski	1796				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the medical patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	EDATE OF THE 1.136(a). In no every control of the c	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tin ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>0</u>	4 Anril 2008						
•			on-final					
3)	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
٥/ا	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> is/are pending in the application.							
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6)⊠ Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
	•	niner						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
. • / 🗀	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.05(a).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice (3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

Art Unit: 1796

In view of applicant's response to the office action dated 1/4/2008 following office action is rendered final as necessitated by amendment. The applicants arguments are considered but not found persuasive. All rejections of record are incorporated here by reference.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1, 4, 21, 22, 28, 32, 33-38, 40, 41 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by BEREN (US 6,143,825).

The discussion of the disclosure of the prior art of BEREN from paragraph 2 of the office action dated 1/4/08 is incorporated here by reference.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Art Unit: 1796

4. Claims 45-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with

the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not

described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant

art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed

invention.

Applicants have added claims that recite the adhesive composition comprising at least 75

wt % functionalization, at least 90 wt % and at least 95 wt % respectively. The examiner was

not able to find support for such limitation. The specification of the instant invention only

recites up to 50 wt% of functionalization as depicted on pages 32-33 of the instant specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found

in a prior Office action.

6. Claims 1-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over BEREN (US

6,143,825) in view of KARANDINOS (US 2002/0070033).

The discussion of the disclosures of BEREN and KARADINIONS from paragraph 6 of

the office action dated 1/4/2008 is incorporated here by reference.

Art Unit: 1796

7. Claims 1-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over BEREN (US

6,143,825) in view of WANG (WO 03/033612).

The discussion of the disclosures of BEREN and WANG from paragraph 7 of the office

action dated 1/4/2008 is incorporated here by reference.

Response to the Applicant's arguments dated 4/4/2008

In their response the applicants argued following:

a) The prior art of BEREN discloses only one component that is grafter so-called third

component, wherein third component is not a blend.

With respect to the above argument, the definition of blend in its own most broad

limitation is a mixture of polymer and other components. The graft polymer of BEREN is mixed

with other polymers and additives and therefore is part of a blend. In addition, applicant's open

ended claim language of "comprising" does not exclude other polymers that are not

functionalized at al.

b) The prior art of BEREN does not specify branched polymer.

As applicants pointed out correctly in their argument, the branching index of the polymer

is 0.98 or less wherein "or less" can also be zero since lower limit has not been defined.

Art Unit: 1796

c) The prior art of KARADINIOS or WANG has been utilized as a combination with the prior art of BEREN to provide for limitation of properties. Examiner's argument has not been traversed.

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Katarzyna Wyrozebski whose telephone number is (571) 272-1127. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 8:30 AM-2:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1796

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Katarzyna Wyrozebski/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796 July 2, 2008